

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/743,260	12/22/2003	Eric C. Steindorf	KCX-771 (19263)	KCX-771 (19263) 4463		
. 22827	7590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER			
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449			PATEL, ?	PATEL, NIHIR B		
	LE, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3743			
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Diffice Action Summary 10/743,260			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Nihir Patel 3743 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenteins of term ging be entitled useful the provided useful to provide useful the provided of the provided useful to provide useful the provided of the provided of the provided useful to provide useful to reply sealed useful to reply sealed useful to reply will. by adultic provided uple and will expire (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication of the provided to reply sealed useful to reply will. by adultic provided useful provided useful to the control provided useful to reply will. by adultic provided useful provided useful to the score ABANDONED (35 U.S. 5, 133). False to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by adultic provided useful provided	Office Action Summary		10/743,260	STEINDORF, ERIC C.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be studied under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.35(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Extensions of time may be studied above, the maximum statutory protein will apply and will explicate the time the making date of this communication. Fallurs to reply within the set or extended shows, the maximum statutory protein will apply and will explicate (in this Cyclinda). Fallurs to reply within the set or extended shows, the maximum statutory protein will apply and will explicate (in the communication, SI U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office above, the maximum statutor protein will apply and will explicate (in the protein status) and the protein status of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannet place that the maximum status protein will apply and will explicate (in the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannet place that the status of the status of the communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any way fraction to the status of the maximum status protein status of the communication. 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on October 22 nd , 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) □ Of the above claim(s) 6-9.11.14.17-19.21-24.26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-3.15.16.20 and 25 is/are rejected.			Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled offer 50% (c) for this secretical the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled offer 50% (c) for this secretical the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled offer 50% (c) for the provisions of 30 CFR 1.35(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled offer 50% (c) for the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(d). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will exply and will explye 51X (d) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the active extended period for exply will, by statute to become ARANDONED (37 U.S. of 133). Any reply received by the Office Island than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any example in the communication of the communication. Sets 37 CFR 1.76(4). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on October 22 rd , 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-510.12.13.15.15.020 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-510.12.13.15.15.020 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-510.12.13.15.15.020 and 25 is/are rejected. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SNL (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thin (50) days, a reply within the stutted ry information of this (130) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply veille the beautiful from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by failuritie, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three mortal after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on October 22 rd , 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9.11.14.17-19.21-24.26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s)is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s)is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s)is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s)is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on October 22 rd , 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9,11,14,17-19,21-24,26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,10,12,13,15,16,20 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9.11.14.17-19.21-24.26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5.10.12.13.15.16.20 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status								
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9.11.14.17-19.21-24.26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5.10.12.13.15.16.20 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)[🖂	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on October 22 nd , 2004							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9.11.14.17-19.21-24.26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9.11,14.17-19.21-24.26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5.10.12,13.15.16.20 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9.11.14.17-19.21-24.26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5.10.12.13.15.16.20 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-9,11,14,17-19,21-24,26 and 27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10,12,13,15,16,20 and 25</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Applicati	ion Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) № Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Characteristics (PTO-152) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	nte	O-152)				

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 30th, 2004, with respect to claims 1 through 5, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 and 25 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous office action has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 12, 13, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubbard et al. US Patent No. 4,969,457 in view of Jackson US Patent No. 3,490,447. Referring to claim 1, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a body portion having a baffle layer having an outer and inner surface with a plurality of projections extending from at least one of the outer and inner surfaces, the baffle layer configured to aid in absorbing energy associated with fluid striking the body portion and to prevent fluid strike through. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide a body portion having a baffle layer having an outer and inner surface with a plurality of projections extending from at least one of the outer and inner surfaces, the baffle layer configured to aid in absorbing energy associated with fluid striking the body portion and to prevent fluid strike through. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing a body portion having a baffle layer having an outer and inner surface with a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/743,260

Art Unit: 3743

projections extending from at least one of the outer and inner surfaces, the baffle layer configured to aid in absorbing energy associated with fluid striking the body portion and to prevent fluid strike through in order make it easier for the air to enter and to prevent fluids from entering.

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The intended use statements are not given any patentable weight in this instance for example "to aid in absorbing energy associated with fluid striking the body portion and to prevent fluid strike through".

Referring to claim 2, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a baffle layer that define a plurality of interconnected channels for redirecting the flow of fluid that strikes the body portion, the channels having an orientation such that the fluid is directed laterally away from the point of impact of the fluid through the channels. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide a baffle layer that define a plurality of interconnected channels for redirecting the flow of fluid that strikes the body portion, the channels having an orientation such that the fluid is directed laterally away from the point of impact of the fluid through the channels. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing a baffle layer that define a plurality of interconnected channels for redirecting the flow of fluid that strikes the body portion, the channels having an orientation such that the fluid is directed laterally away from the point of impact of the fluid through the channels in order to prevent fluids from entering.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,260

Art Unit: 3743

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The intended use statements are not given any patentable weight in this instance for example "for redirecting the flow of fluid that strikes the body portion".

Referring to claim 3, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a body portion that has a first layer contacting the projections of the baffle layer and the body portion that has a third layer contacting the inner surface of the baffle layer. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide a body portion that has a first layer contacting the projections of the baffle layer and the body portion that has a third layer contacting the inner surface of the baffle layer. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing a body portion that has a first layer contacting the projections of the baffle layer and the body portion that has a third layer contacting the inner surface of the baffle layer in order to prevent fluids from entering.

Referring to claim 5, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing projections that are circular pillows. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide projections that are circular pillows. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing projections that are circular pillows in order to prevent fluids from entering.

Referring to claim 10, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing plurality of projections that extend from the outer surface of the baffle layer. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide plurality of projections that extend

Application/Control Number: 10/743,260

Art Unit: 3743

from the outer surface of the baffle layer. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify

Hubbard's invention by providing plurality of projections that extend from the outer surface of
the baffle layer in order to prevent fluids from entering.

Referring to claims 12 and 13, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a plurality of projections extending therefrom, the projections aiding in absorbing energy associated with fluid striking the body portion. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide a plurality of projections extending therefrom, the projections aiding in absorbing energy associated with fluid striking the body portion. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing a plurality of projections extending therefrom, the projections aiding in absorbing energy associated with fluid striking the body portion in order to prevent to prevent fluids from entering.

Referring to claim 20, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing projections that are circular pillows. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide projections that are circular pillows. Therefore it would be obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing projections that are circular pillows in order to prevent fluids from entering.

Referring to claim 25, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing plurality of projections that extend from the outer surfaces of the layer having the projections. Jackson discloses a surgical mask that does provide plurality of projections that extend from the outer surfaces of the layer having the projections. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing plurality of projections

Art Unit: 3743

that extend from the outer surfaces of the layer having the projections in order to prevent fluids from entering.

Page 6

Claims 4, 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubbard et al. US Patent No. 4,969,457 in view Springett et al. US Patent No. 6,102,039. Referring to claims 4 and 15, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a first layer that is stiffer than the baffle layer. Springett discloses a molded respirator containing sorbent particles that does provide a first layer that is stiffer than the baffle layer. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing a first layer that is stiffer than the baffle layer in order to assist in guiding the particles/fluids from the user's mouth and nose.

Referring to claim 16, Hubbard discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a body portion that has a an additional layer that us the layer farthest from the user when worn and adjacent to the layer having the projections, the additional layer stiffer than the layer having the projections. Springett discloses a molded respirator containing sorbent particles that does provide a body portion that has a an additional layer that us the layer farthest from the user when worn and adjacent to the layer having the projections, the additional layer stiffer than the layer having the projections. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Hubbard's invention by providing a body portion that has a an additional layer that us the layer farthest from the user when worn and adjacent to the layer having the projections, the additional layer stiffer than the layer having the projections in order to assist in guiding the particles/flids away from the users mouth and nose.

Art Unit: 3743

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (571) 272 4791.

NP December 27th, 2004

Henry Bennett Supervisory Patent Examiner Page 7